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1. **Reviewed 2 permits headed for Zoning Board of Appeals (ZBA) for various reliefs. Board (PB) recommendations were documented and forwarded to VOB (Village of Brightwaters) BI (Building Inspector) for review.**
2. **Multi Storage representatives (B.Weber Atty, TJ.Wilkerson Civil Engineer) revisited PB providing updates for requested Color Schemes for Building, visible Unit Doors and Egress update.**

* **Color Schemes**
  + **Pantone Color charts was made available to PB regarding the building exterior and visible Unit Doors. PB found Pantone Colors for the Building acceptable, but visible Unit Doors rendering were not clear. PB requested exact color rendering of visible Unit Doors for next meeting.**
* **Egress onto Ackerson Blvd**
  + **As requested, review was conducted if egress onto Ackerson Blvd would be feasible limiting to “right turn” only. After review, Bohler (Engineering Firm) stated egress onto Ackerson Blvd was too dangerous give proximity to light on the corner of Howells Road and would not be pursued.**

1. **Applicants Mark and Marco Sagliocca presented to PB heir proposal converting 169 Orinoco Drive into multi-use building. The proposal called for bottom floor to be business with 1 rental unit, floors 2 and 3 to have 7 rental units, 8 in total.**

**After presentation, PB advised applicants proposal would need to be reviewed by VOB Building Inspector. However, based on PB review, the following Variances would need to be approve by VOB Zoning Board:**

* **Change of Use**
* **Building Height**
* **Insufficient Parking for Rental Units**
* **Insufficient Parking for business**
* **Rental Units less than 700 sq ft**

**Further discussion centered around the number of “rental units” being under 700 Sq ft, specifically, 5 of the 8 units were undersized as per current VOB Code. With initial proposal, PB was not in favor of proposal.**

**Post meeting, applicants provided revised proposal eliminating 1 Rental Unit, 7 in total. Eliminating 1 rental unit permits 6 of 7 units meeting current code of minimum 700 sq ft and number of Parking spots for rental units. Revised proposal would require the following Variances:**

* **Change of Use**
* **Building Height**
* **Insufficient Parking for business**
* **Rental Unit less than 700 sq ft (bottom floor unit)**

**The PB voted virtually on the revised proposal and voted 4-0 in-favor of applicant moving forward with Zoning Board review.**